

REMARKS

Claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Subbarao (4,965,840). Further, claims 1-6 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Katsuhiko (JP 8-007102).

The examiner's rejections are respectfully traversed.

By way of the instant amendment, claims 1 and 4 have been canceled and new claims 7-10 have been added. All of applicant's new claims recite as one of the limitations of the claim that the plural cameras have different resolutions from each other. The prior art references do not disclose or suggest this feature of applicant's invention. Indeed, the undersigned has performed a data search utilizing an electronic version of the Subbarao patent from the PTO web site and has looked for the terms "resolution" or "resolve". No such terms were found. It is submitted that the patent disclosure does not mention utilization of cameras with different resolutions. The Katsuhiko reference also appears to be silent as to different resolutions for the plurality of cameras.

Inasmuch as the prior art does not disclose nor suggest a significant limitation of applicant's claims, and inasmuch as this limitation is recited in all of applicant's independent claims, the references can not anticipate applicant's invention. As such,

Serial No. 08/962,315

applicant's claims are deemed to be patentable over the prior art and an early indication of same is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

2/7/00

David A. Blumenthal
David A. Blumenthal
Reg. No. 26,257

Foley & Lardner
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20007-5109
Telephone: 202-672-5300
Facsimile: 202-672-5399

Should additional fees be necessary in connection with the filing of this paper, or if a petition for extension of time is required for timely acceptance of same, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 19-0741 for any such fees; and applicant(s) hereby petition for any needed extension of time.